



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

(SAH)
f.2m

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/930,235	02/23/98	EITRICH	A BEIERSDORF45

IM12/0603
SPRUNG KRAMER SCHAEFFER & BRISCOE
660 WHITE PLAINS ROAD
TARRYTOWN NY 10591-5144

EXAMINER
LOVERING, R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1721	

DATE MAILED:

06/03/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/930,235

Applicant(s)

EITRICH ET AL.

Examiner

LOVERING

Group Art Unit

1721

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Response

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on FEB. 23, 1998.
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- ☒ Claim(s) 2 AND 3 is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 - ☒ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.
 - ☒ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).
- *Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1721

1.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schambil et al DE 4,010,393, especially Beispiele 1-2; Tabelle 1; and abstract.

While Schambil et al may not state that their microemulsions are transparent or translucent, this property would appear to be implicit or inherent in the patentees' microemulsions. There is no evidence of record to the contrary.

3.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Allard et al 5,616,331, especially Example 1; paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3; column 5, lines 47-65; and column 6, lines 39-47.

4.

Art Unit: 1721

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite as to scope in "further processing steps". The quoted expression is broad enough to read on breaking, concentrating, diluting, adding preservative(s), adding a gelling agent, etc. and the specification doesn't specify which further processing steps are contemplated by applicants.

5.

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to for using "characterized in that" instead of --, which process comprises-- and in using passive instead of active verb forms.

6.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification lacks: --Brief Description Of Drawings--.

Appropriate correction is required.

7.

Applicants are required to insert the following sentence between lines 4 and 5 on page 1 of the specification: --This application is a ³71 of PCT/EP96/00968 filed March 7, 1996.--.

8.

Art Unit: 1721

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

9.

The remaining references listed on the attached form PTO 892 are cumulative to the references applied herein, and/or further show the state of the art.

10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Lovering at telephone number (703) 308-0443.

Lovering:jp

June 2, 1998

Richard D. Lovering
RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ~~1200~~ 1700